arXiv:math-ph/0406004v1 1 Jun 2004

Contact Equivalence Problem for Linear Hyperbolic Equations

Oleg I. Morozov

Department of Mathematics, Snezhinsk Physical and Technical Academy,
Snezhinsk, 456776, Russia
oim@foxcub.org

Abstract. We consider the local equivalence problem for the class of linear second order hyperbolic equations in two independent variables under an action of the pseudo-group of contact transformations. É. Cartan's method is used for finding the Maurer – Cartan forms for symmetry groups of equations from the class and computing structure equations and complete sets of differential invariants for these groups. The solution of the equivalence problem is formulated in terms of these differential invariants.

AMS classification scheme numbers: 58H05, 58J70, 35A30

Introduction

In the present paper, we find neccessary and sufficient conditions for two equations from the class of linear second order hyperbolic equations

$$u_{tx} = T(t,x)\,u_t + X(t,x)\,u_x + U(t,x)\,u \qquad (1)$$

to be equivalent under an action of the contact transformation pseudo-group. We use Élie Cartan's method of equivalence, [1] - [5], in its form developed by Fels and Olver, [6, 7], to compute the Maurer – Cartan forms, the structure equations, the basic invariants, and the invariant derivatives for symmetry groups of equations from the class . All differential invariants are functions of the basic invariants and their invariant derivatives. The differential invariants parametrize classifying manifolds associated with given equations. Cartan's solution to the equivalence problem states that two equations are (locally) equivalent if and only if their classifying manifolds (locally) overlap.

The symmetry classification problem for classes of differential equations is closely related to the problem of local equivalence: symmetry groups of two equations are necessarily isomorphic if these equations are equivalent, while the converse statement is not true in general. The symmetry analysis of linear second order hyperbolic equations (1) is done by Lie, [16, Vol. 3, pp 492-523]. Two semi-invariants $H = -T_t + T\,X + U$ and $K = -X_x + T\,X + U$ were discovered by Laplace, [15]. These functions are unaltered under an action of the pseudo-groups of linear transformations $\overline{u} = c(t,x)\,u$. In [22], Ovsiannikov found the invariants $P = K\,H^{-1}$, $Q = (\ln|\,H\,|)_{tx}\,H^{-1}$ and used them to classify equations (1) with non-trivial symmetry groups. In [9, th 2.3], [10, § 10.4.2], it was claimed that the invariants P and Q form a basis of differential invariants for equations (1), while all the other invariants are functions of P and Q and their invariant derivatives. In [14], a basis of five invariants and operators of invariant differentiation are found in the case $P_x \neq 0$. In the case $P_t \neq 0$ and $P_x \neq 0$ two bases of four invariants are computed in [12].

In [18], the invariant version of Lie's infinitesimal method was developed and applied to the symmetry classification of the class (1).

The symmetry classification problem and invariants for the class of linear parabolic equations $u_{xx} = T(t,x)\,u_t + X(t,x)\,u_x + U(t,x)\,u$ are studied in [16, 23, 11, 13] by Lie's infinitesimal method. In [20, 21], Cartan's method is applied to solve the contact equivalence problem for this class.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we begin with some notation, and use Cartan's equivalence method to find the invariant 1-forms and the structure equations for the pseudo-group of contact transformations on the bundle of second-order jets. In Section 2, we briefly describe the approach to computing Maurer - Cartan forms and structure equations for symmetry groups of differential equations via the moving coframe method of Fels and Olver. In Section 3, the method is applied to the class of hyperbolic equations (1). Finally, we make some concluding remarks.

1. Pseudo-group of contact transformations

In this paper, all considerations are of local nature, and all mappings are real analytic. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a trivial bundle with the local base coordinates $(x^1, ..., x^n)$ and the local fibre coordinate u; then by $J^2(\mathcal{E})$ denote the bundle of the second-order jets of sections of $\mathcal{E}$, with the local coordinates (x^i, u, p_i, p_{ij}), $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, $i \leq j$. For every local section $(x^i, f(x))$ of $\mathcal{E}$, the corresponding 2-jet $(x^i, f(x), \partial f(x)/\partial x^i, \partial^2 f(x)/\partial x^i \partial x^j)$ is denoted by $j_2(f)$. A differential 1-form ϑ on $J^2(\mathcal{E})$ is called a *contact form* if it is annihilated by all 2-jets of local sections: $j_2(f)^* \vartheta = 0$. In the local coordinates every contact 1-form is a linear combination of the forms $\vartheta_0 = du - p_i\,dx^i$, $\vartheta_i = dp_i - p_{ij}\,dx^j$, $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, $p_{ji} = p_{ij}$ (here and later we use the Einstein summation convention, so $p_i\,dx^i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i\,dx^i$, etc.) A local diffeomorphism

$$\Delta : J^2(\mathcal{E}) \to J^2(\mathcal{E}), \qquad \Delta : (x^i, u, p_i, p_{ij}) \mapsto (\overline{x}^i, \overline{u}, \overline{p}_i, \overline{p}_{ij}), \tag{2}$$

is called a *contact transformation* if for every contact 1-form ϑ the form $\Delta^* \overline{\vartheta}$ is also contact. We use Cartan's method of equivalence, [5, 24], to obtain a collection of invariant 1-forms for the pseudo-group of contact transformations on $J^2(\mathcal{E})$. For this, take the coframe $\{\vartheta_0, \vartheta_i, dx^i, dp_{ij} \,|\, i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}, i \leq j\}$ on $J^2(\mathcal{E})$. A contact transformation

(2) acts on this coframe in the following manner:

$$\Delta^* \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\vartheta}_0 \\ \overline{\vartheta}_i \\ d\overline{x}^i \\ d\overline{p}_{ij} \end{pmatrix} = S \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_0 \\ \vartheta_k \\ dx^k \\ dp_{kl} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $S : J^2(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{G}$ is an analytic function, and $\mathcal{G}$ is the Lie group of non-degenerate block matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & \widetilde{a}^k & 0 & 0 \\ \widetilde{g}_i & h^k_i & 0 & 0 \\ \widetilde{c}^i & \widetilde{f}^{ik} & b^i_k & r^{ikl} \\ \widetilde{s}_{ij} & \widetilde{w}^k_{ij} & \widetilde{z}_{ijk} & \widetilde{q}^{kl}_{ij} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In these matrices, $i, j, k, l \in \{1, ..., n\}$, r^{ikl} are defined for $k \le l$, $\widetilde{s}_{ij}$, $\widetilde{w}^k_{ij}$, and $\widetilde{z}_{ijk}$ are defined for $i \le j$, and $\widetilde{q}^{kl}_{ij}$ are defined for $i \le j$, $k \le l$.

Let us show that $\widetilde{a}^k = 0$. Indeed, the exterior (non-closed!) ideal $\mathcal{I} = \mathrm{span}\{\vartheta_0, \vartheta_i\}$ has the derived ideal $\delta\mathcal{I} = \{\omega \in \mathcal{I} \,|\, d\omega \in \mathcal{I}\} = \mathrm{span}\{\vartheta_0\}$. Since $\Delta^*\,\overline{\mathcal{I}} \subset \mathcal{I}$ implies $\Delta^*\,(\delta\,\overline{\mathcal{I}}) \subset \delta(\Delta^*\,\overline{\mathcal{I}}) \subset \delta\,\mathcal{I}$, we obtain $\Delta^*\,\overline{\vartheta}_0 = a\,\vartheta_0$.

For convenience in the following computations, we denote by (B^j_i) the inverse matrix for (b^j_i), so $b^j_i\,B^k_j = \delta^k_i$, by (H^j_i) denote the inverse matrix for (h^j_i), so $h^j_i\,H^k_j = \delta^k_i$, change the variables on $\mathcal{G}$ such that $g_i = \widetilde{g}_i\,a^{-1}$, $f^{ij} = \widetilde{f}^{ik}\,H^j_k$, $c^i = \widetilde{c}^i\,a^{-1} - f^{ik}\,g_k$, $s_{ij} = \widetilde{s}_{ij}\,a^{-1} - \widetilde{w}^k_{ij}\,H^m_k\,g_m - \widetilde{z}_{ijm}\,B^m_k\,c^k$, $w^k_{ij} = \widetilde{w}^m_{ij}\,H^k_m - \widetilde{z}_{ijm}\,B^m_l\,f^{lk}$, $z_{ijk} = \widetilde{z}_{ijm}\,B^m_k$, $q^{kl}_{ij} = \widetilde{q}^{kl}_{ij} - \widetilde{z}_{ijm}\,B^m_{m'}\,r^{m'kl}$, and define $Q^{kl}_{k'l'}$ by $Q^{kl}_{k'l'}\,q^{k'l'}_{ij} = \delta^k_i\,\delta^l_j$.

In accordance with Cartan's method of equivalence, we take the lifted coframe

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_0 \\ \Theta_i \\ \Xi^i \\ \Sigma_{ij} \end{pmatrix} = S \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_0 \\ \vartheta_k \\ dx^k \\ dp_{kl} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a\,\vartheta_0 \\ g_i\,\Theta_0 + h^k_i\,\vartheta_k \\ c^i\,\Theta_0 + f^{ik}\,\Theta_k + b^i_k\,dx^k + r^{ikl}\,dp_{kl} \\ s_{ij}\,\Theta_0 + w^k_{ij}\,\Theta_k + z_{ijk}\,\Xi^k + q^{kl}_{ij}\,dp_{kl} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (3)$$

on $J^2(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{G}$. Expressing du, dx^k, dp_k, and dp_{kl} from (3) and substituting them to $d\Theta_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\Theta_0 &= da \wedge \vartheta_0 + a\,d\vartheta_0 = da\,a^{-1} \wedge \Theta_0 + a\,dx^i \wedge dp_i = da\,a^{-1} \wedge \Theta_0 + a\,dx^i \wedge \vartheta_i \\ &= \Phi^0_0 \wedge \Theta_0 + a\,B^i_k\,H^m_i\,\Xi^k \wedge \Theta_m + a\,H^m_i\,R^{ikl}\,\Sigma_{kl} \wedge \Theta_m \\ &\quad + a\,H^m_i\,\left(B^i_k\,f^{kj} + R^{ikl}\,w^j_{kl}\right)\,\Theta_j \wedge \Theta_m, \end{aligned} \qquad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^0_0 = da\,a^{-1} + a\,H^{m'}_i\,\Big(B^i_k\,\left(c^k + R^{ikl}\,s_{kl}\right)\,\Theta_{m'} - g_{m'}\,B^i_k\,(\Xi^k - c^k\,\Theta_0 - f^{kj}\,\Theta_j) \\ -g_{m'}\,R^{ikl}\,(\Sigma_{kl} - s_{kl}\,\Theta_0 - w^m_{kl}\,\Theta_m - z_{klm}\,\Xi^m)\Big) \end{aligned}$$

and $R^{jkl} = -r^{ik'l'}\,B^j_i\,Q^{kl}_{k'l'}$.

The multipliers of $\Xi^k \wedge \Theta_m$, $\Sigma_{kl} \wedge \Theta_m$, and $\Theta_j \wedge \Theta_m$ in (4) are essential torsion coefficients. We normalize them by setting $a\, B^i_k\, H^m_i = \delta^m_k$, $R^{ikl} = 0$, and $f^{kj} = f^{jk}$. Therefore the first normalization is

$$h^k_i = a\, B^k_i, \qquad r^{ikl} = 0, \qquad f^{kj} = f^{jk}. \tag{5}$$

Analysing $d\Theta_i$, $d\Xi^i$, and $d\Sigma_{ij}$ in the same way, we obtain the following normalizations:

$$q^{kl}_{ij} = a\, B^k_i\, B^l_j, \qquad s_{ij} = s_{ji}, \qquad w^k_{ij} = w^k_{ji}, \qquad z_{ijk} = z_{jik} = z_{ikj}. \tag{6}$$

After these reductions the structure equations for the lifted coframe have the form

$$d\Theta_0 = \Phi^0_0 \wedge \Theta_0 + \Xi^i \wedge \Theta_i,$$

$$d\Theta_i = \Phi^0_i \wedge \Theta_0 + \Phi^k_i \wedge \Theta_k + \Xi^k \wedge \Sigma_{ik},$$

$$d\Xi^i = \Phi^0_0 \wedge \Xi^i - \Phi^i_k \wedge \Xi^k + \Psi^{i0} \wedge \Theta_0 + \Psi^{ik} \wedge \Theta_k,$$

$$d\Sigma_{ij} = \Phi^k_i \wedge \Sigma_{kj} - \Phi^0_0 \wedge \Sigma_{ij} + \Upsilon^0_{ij} \wedge \Theta_0 + \Upsilon^k_{ij} \wedge \Theta_k + \Lambda_{ijk} \wedge \Xi^k,$$

where the forms Φ^0_0, Φ^0_i, Φ^k_i, Ψ^{i0}, Ψ^{ij}, Υ^0_{ij}, Υ^k_{ij}, and Λ_{ijk} are defined by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^0_0 &= da\, a^{-1} - g_k\, \Xi^k + (c^k + f^{km}\, g_m)\, \Theta_k,\\
\Phi^0_i &= dg_i + g_k\, db^k_j\, B^j_i - (g_i\, g_k + s_{ik} + c^j\, z_{ijk})\, \Xi^k + c^k\, \Sigma_{ik}\\
&\qquad +(g_i\, c^k + g_i\, g_m\, f^{mk} - c^j\, w^k_{ij} + f^{mk}\, s_{im})\, \Theta_k,\\
\Phi^k_i &= \delta^k_i\, da\, a^{-1} - db^k_j\, B^j_i + (g_i\, \delta^k_j - w^k_{ij} - f^{km}\, z^i_{jm})\, \Xi^j + f^{km}\, \Sigma_{im} + f^{jm}\, w^k_{ij}\, \Theta_m,\\
\Psi^{i0} &= dc^i + f^{ij}\, \Phi^0_j + c^k\, \Phi^i_k + (c^i\, f^{mj}\, g_m - c^k\, f^{mj}\, w^i_{kj})\, \Theta_j - c^k\, f^{ij}\, \Sigma_{kj}\\
&\qquad + c^k\, (f^{im}\, z^{kmj} + w^i_{kj} - g_k\, \delta^i_j - g_j\, \delta^i_k)\, \Xi^j, \qquad\qquad (7)\\
\Psi^{ij} &= df^{ij} + (f^{ik}\, \delta^j_m + f^{jk}\, \delta^i_m)\, \Phi^m_k + (c^i\, \delta^j_k + c^j\, \delta^i_k - f^{ij}\, g_k + f^{im}\, f^{jl}\, z_{klm})\, \Xi^k\\
&\qquad +f^{ij}\, (c^k + f^{km}\, g_m)\, \Theta_k - f^{ik}\, f^{jm}\, \Sigma_{km},\\
\Upsilon^0_{ij} &= ds_{ij} - s_{ij}\, da\, a^{-1} + s_{kj}\, db^k_m\, B^m_i + s_{ik}\, db^k_m\, B^m_j + s_{ij}\, \Phi^0_0 + w^k_{ij}\, \Phi^0_k + z_{ijk}\, \Psi^{k0},\\
\Upsilon^k_{ij} &= dw^k_{ij} - w^k_{ij}\, da\, a^{-1} + (w^k_{il}\, \delta^{m'}_j + w^k_{jl}\, \delta^{m'}_i)\, db^l_m\, B^m_{m'} + (s_{ij}\, \delta^k_m + z_{ijl}\, f^{m'k}\, w^l_{m'm})\, \Xi^m\\
&\qquad +w^m_{ij}\, \Phi^k_m + f^{lk}\, (w^m_{il}\, \delta^{m'}_j + w^m_{jl}\, \delta^{m'}_i)\, \Sigma_{m'm} - (c^k + f^{mk}\, g_m)\, \Sigma_{ij},\\
\Lambda_{ijk} &= dz_{ijk} - 2\, z_{ijk}\, da\, a^{-1} + z_{ijl}\, db^l_m\, B^m_k + z_{ilk}\, db^l_m\, B^m_j + z_{ljk}\, db^l_m\, B^m_i + z_{ijk}\, \Phi^0_0\\
&\qquad +z_{ijk}\, g_m\, \Xi^m + g_i\, \Sigma_{jk} + g_j\, \Sigma_{ik} + g_k\, \Sigma_{ij} - w^l_{ij}\, \Sigma_{lk} - w^l_{ik}\, \Sigma_{lj} - w^l_{jk}\, \Sigma_{li}\\
&\qquad -f^{lm}\, (z_{imj}\, \Sigma_{kl} + z_{imk}\, \Sigma_{jl} + z_{jmk}\, \Sigma_{il}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ defined by (5) and (6). We shall prove that the restriction of the lifted coframe (3) to $J^2(\mathcal{E})\times\mathcal{H}$ satisfies Cartan's test of involutivity, [24, def 11.7]. The structure equations remain unchanged under the following transformation of the forms (7): $\Phi^0_0 \mapsto \widetilde{\Phi}^0_0$, $\Phi^k_i \mapsto \widetilde{\Phi}^k_i$, $\Phi^0_i \mapsto \widetilde{\Phi}^0_i$, $\Psi^{ij} \mapsto \widetilde{\Psi}^{ij}$, $\Psi^{i0} \mapsto \widetilde{\Psi}^{i0}$, $\Upsilon^0_{ij} \mapsto \widetilde{\Upsilon}^0_{ij}$, $\Upsilon^k_{ij} \mapsto \widetilde{\Upsilon}^k_{ij}$, $\Lambda_{ijk} \mapsto \widetilde{\Lambda}_{ijk}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\Phi}^0_0 = \Phi^0_0 + K\,\Theta_0,\\
&\widetilde{\Phi}^k_i = \Phi^k_i + L^{kl}_i\,\Theta_l + M^k_i\,\Theta_0,\\
&\widetilde{\Phi}^0_i = \Phi^0_i + M^k_i\,\Theta_k + N_i\,\Theta_0,\\
&\widetilde{\Psi}^{ij} = \Psi^{ij} + P^{ij}\,\Theta_0 + S^{ijk}\,\Theta_k - L^{ij}_k\,\Xi^k,\\
&\widetilde{\Psi}^{i0} = \Psi^{i0} + P^{ij}\,\Theta_j + T^i\,\Theta_0 + K\,\Xi^i - M^i_k\,\Xi^k,\\
&\widetilde{\Upsilon}^0_{ij} = \Upsilon^0_{ij} + U_{ij}\,\Theta_0 + V^k_{ij}\,\Theta_k + W_{ijk}\,\Xi^k + K\,\Sigma_{ij} + M^k_i\,\Sigma_{kj},\\
&\widetilde{\Upsilon}^k_{ij} = \Upsilon^k_{ij} + X^{kl}_{ij}\,\Theta_l + V^k_{ij}\,\Theta_0 + Y^k_{ijl}\,\Xi^l + L_i\,\Sigma_{lj},\\
&\widetilde{\Lambda}_{ijk} = \Lambda_{ijk} + Z_{ijkl}\,\Xi^l + Y^l_{ijk}\,\Theta_l + W_{ijk}\,\Theta_0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

and K, L^{kl}_i, M^k_i, N_i, P^{ij}, S^{ijk}, T^i, U_{ij}, V^k_{ij}, W_{ijk}, X^{kl}_{ij}, Y^k_{ijl}, and Z_{ijkl} are arbitrary constants satisfying the following symmetry conditions :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&L^{kl}_i = L^{lk}_i, \quad P^{ij} = P^{ji}, \quad S^{ijk} = S^{jik} = S^{ikj}, \quad U_{ij} = U_{ji}, \quad V^k_{ij} = V^k_{ji},\\
&W_{ijk} = W_{jik} = W_{ikj}, \quad X^{kl}_{ij} = X^{kl}_{ji} = X^{lk}_{ij}, \quad Y^k_{ijl} = Y^k_{jil} = Y^k_{ilj},\\
&Z_{ijkl} = Z_{jikl} = Z_{ijlk} = Z_{ikjl}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{9}
$$

The number of such constants

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{(1)} &= 1 + \frac{n^2\,(n+1)}{2} + n^2 + n + \frac{n\,(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n\,(n+1)\,(n+2)}{6} + n + \frac{n\,(n+1)}{2}\\
&+ \frac{n^2\,(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n\,(n+1)\,(n+2)}{6} + \frac{n^2\,(n+1)^2}{4} + \frac{n^2\,(n+1)\,(n+2)}{6}\\
&+ \frac{n\,(n+1)\,(n+2)\,(n+3)}{24} = \frac{1}{24}\,(n+1)\,(n+2)\,(11\,n^2 + 29\,n + 12)
\end{aligned}
$$

is the degree of indeterminancy of the lifted coframe, [24, def 11.2]. The reduced characters of this coframe, [24, def 11.4], are easily found: $s'_i = \frac{1}{2}\,(n+1)\,(n+4) - i$ when $i \in \{1, ..., n+1\}$ and $s'_{n+1+j} = \frac{1}{2}\,(n+1-j)\,(n+2-j)$ when $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. A simple calculation shows that $r^{(1)} = s'_1 + 2\,s'_2 + 3\,s'_3 + ... + (2\,n+1)\,s'_{2\,n+1}$. So the Cartan test is satisfied, and the lifted coframe is involutive.

It is easy to directly verify that a transformation $\widehat{\Delta} : J^2(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{H} \to J^2(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{H}$ satisfies the conditions

$$
\widehat{\Delta}^*\,\overline{\Theta}_0 = \Theta_0, \qquad \widehat{\Delta}^*\,\overline{\Theta}_i = \Theta_i, \qquad \widehat{\Delta}^*\,\overline{\Xi}^i = \Xi^i, \qquad \widehat{\Delta}^*\,\overline{\Sigma}_{ij} = \Sigma_{ij} \tag{10}
$$

if and only if it is projectable on $J^2(\mathcal{E})$, and its projection $\Delta : J^2(\mathcal{E}) \to J^2(\mathcal{E})$ is a contact transformation.

Since (10) imply $\widehat{\Delta}^*\, d\overline{\Theta}_0 = d\Theta_0$, $\widehat{\Delta}^*\, d\overline{\Theta}_i = d\Theta_i$, $\widehat{\Delta}^*\, d\overline{\Xi}^i = d\Xi^i$, and $\widehat{\Delta}^*\, d\overline{\Sigma}_{ij} = d\Sigma_{ij}$, we have

$$\widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^0_0 \wedge \overline{\Theta}_0 + \overline{\Xi}^i \wedge \overline{\Theta}_i\right) = \left(\widehat{\Delta}^*\overline{\Phi}^0_0\right) \wedge \Theta_0 + \Xi^i \wedge \Theta_i = \Phi^0_0 \wedge \Theta_0 + \Xi^i \wedge \Theta_i,$$

$$\widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^0_i \wedge \overline{\Theta}_0 + \overline{\Phi}^k_i \wedge \overline{\Theta}_k + \overline{\Xi}^k \wedge \overline{\Sigma}_{ik}\right) = \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^0_i\right) \wedge \Theta_0 + \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^k_i\right) \wedge \Theta_k + \Xi^k \wedge \Sigma_{ik}$$

$$= \Phi^0_i \wedge \Theta_0 + \Phi^k_i \wedge \Theta_k + \Xi^k \wedge \Sigma_{ik},$$

$$\widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^0_0 \wedge \overline{\Xi}^i - \overline{\Phi}^i_k \wedge \overline{\Xi}^k + \overline{\Psi}^{i0} \wedge \overline{\Theta}_0 + \overline{\Psi}^{ik} \wedge \overline{\Theta}_k\right)$$

$$= \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^0_0\right) \wedge \Xi^i - \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^i_k\right) \wedge \Xi^k + \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Psi}^{i0}\right) \wedge \Theta_0 + \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Psi}^{ik}\right) \wedge \Theta_k$$

$$= \Phi^0_0 \wedge \Xi^i - \Phi^i_k \wedge \Xi^k + \Psi^{i0} \wedge \Theta_0 + \Psi^{ik} \wedge \Theta_k,$$

$$\widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^k_i \wedge \overline{\Sigma}_{kj} - \overline{\Phi}^0_0 \wedge \overline{\Sigma}_{ij} + \overline{\Upsilon}^0_{ij} \wedge \overline{\Theta}_0 + \overline{\Upsilon}^k_{ij} \wedge \overline{\Theta}_k + \overline{\Lambda}_{ijk} \wedge \overline{\Xi}^k\right)$$

$$= \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^k_i\right) \wedge \Sigma_{kj} - \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^0_0\right) \wedge \Sigma_{ij} + \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Upsilon}^0_{ij}\right) \wedge \Theta_0 + \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Upsilon}^k_{ij}\right) \wedge \Theta_k$$

$$+\widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Lambda}_{ijk}\right) \wedge \Xi^k = \Phi^k_i \wedge \Sigma_{kj} - \Phi^0_0 \wedge \Sigma_{ij} + \Upsilon^0_{ij} \wedge \Theta_0 + \Upsilon^k_{ij} \wedge \Theta_k + \Lambda_{ijk} \wedge \Xi^k.$$

Therefore, we have the following transformation rules

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^0_0\right) = \widetilde{\Phi}^0_0, \quad \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^k_i\right) = \widetilde{\Phi}^k_i, \quad \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Phi}^0_i\right) = \widetilde{\Phi}^0_i,\\
&\widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Psi}^{ij}\right) = \widetilde{\Psi}^{ij}, \quad \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Psi}^{i0}\right) = \widetilde{\Psi}^{i0}, \quad \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Upsilon}^0_{ij}\right) = \widetilde{\Upsilon}^0_{ij},\\
&\widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Upsilon}^k_{ij}\right) = \widetilde{\Upsilon}^k_{ij}, \quad \widehat{\Delta}^*\left(\overline{\Lambda}_{ijk}\right) = \widetilde{\Lambda}_{ijk}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the constants K, ..., Z_{ijkl} in (8) are replaced by arbitrary functions on $J^2(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{H}$ such that the same symmetry conditions (9) are satisfied.

2. Contact symmetries of differential equations

Suppose $\mathcal{R}$ is a second-order differential equation in one dependent and n independent variables. We consider $\mathcal{R}$ as a sub-bundle in $J^2(\mathcal{E})$. Let $Cont(\mathcal{R})$ be the group of contact symmetries for $\mathcal{R}$. It consists of all the contact transformations on $J^2(\mathcal{E})$ mapping $\mathcal{R}$ to itself. The moving coframe method, [6, 7], is applicable to find invariant 1-forms characterizing $Cont(\mathcal{R})$ is the same way, as the restriction of the lifted coframe (3) to $J^2(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{H}$ characterizes $Cont(J^2(\mathcal{E}))$. We briefly outline this approach.

Let $\iota : \mathcal{R} \to J^2(\mathcal{E})$ be an embedding. The invariant 1-forms of $Cont(\mathcal{R})$ are restrictions of the coframe (3), (5), (6) to $\mathcal{R}$: $\theta_0 = \iota^*\Theta_0$, $\theta_i = \iota^*\Theta_i$, $\xi^i = \iota^*\Xi^i$, and $\sigma_{ij} = \iota^*\Sigma_{ij}$ (for brevity we identify the map $\iota \times id : \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{H} \to J^2(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{H}$ with $\iota : \mathcal{R} \to J^2(\mathcal{E})$). The forms θ_0, θ_i, ξ^i, and σ_{ij} have some linear dependencies, i.e., there exists a non-trivial set of functions E^0, E^i, F_i, and G^{ij} on $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{H}$ such that $E^0\, \theta_0 + E^i\, \theta_i + F_i\, \xi^i + G^{ij}\, \sigma_{ij} \equiv 0$. These functions are lifted invariants of $Cont(\mathcal{R})$. Setting them equal to some constants allows us to specify some parameters a, b^k_i, c_i, g_i, f^{ij}, s_{ij}, w^k_{ij}, and z_{ijk} of the group $\mathcal{H}$ as functions of the coordinates on $\mathcal{R}$ and the other group parameters.

After these normalizations, a part of the forms $\phi^0_0 = \iota^*\Phi^0_0$, $\phi^k_i = \iota^*\Phi^k_i$, $\phi^0_i = \iota^*\Phi^0_i$, $\psi^{ij} = \iota^*\Psi^{ij}$, $\psi^{i0} = \iota^*\Psi^{i0}$, $\upsilon^0_{ij} = \iota^*\Upsilon^0_{ij}$, $\upsilon^k_{ij} = \iota^*\Upsilon^k_{ij}$, and $\lambda_{ijk} = \iota^*\Lambda_{ijk}$, or some their linear combinations, become semi-basic, i.e., they do not include the differentials of the parameters of $\mathcal{H}$. From (11) and (8), we have the following statements: (i) if ϕ^0_0 is semi-basic, then its coefficients at θ_k, ξ^k, and σ_{kl} are lifted invariants of $Cont(\mathcal{R})$; (ii) if ϕ^0_i or ϕ^k_i are semi-basic, then their coefficients at ξ^k and σ_{kl} are lifted invariants of $Cont(\mathcal{R})$; (iii) if ψ^{i0}, ψ^{ij}, or λ_{ijk} are semi-basic, then their coefficients at σ_{kl} are lifted invariants of $Cont(\mathcal{R})$. Setting these invariants equal to some constants, we get specifications of some more parameters of $\mathcal{H}$ as functions of the coordinates on $\mathcal{R}$ and the other group parameters.

More lifted invariants can appear as essential torsion coefficients in the reduced structure equations

$$d\theta_0 = \phi^0_0 \wedge \theta_0 + \xi^i \wedge \theta_i$$

$$d\theta_i = \phi^0_i \wedge \theta_0 + \phi^k_i \wedge \theta_k + \xi^k \wedge \sigma_{ik}$$

$$d\xi^i = \phi^0_0 \wedge \xi^i - \phi^i_k \wedge \xi^k + \psi^{i0} \wedge \theta_0 + \psi^{ik} \wedge \theta_k$$

$$d\sigma_{ij} = \phi^k_i \wedge \sigma_{kj} - \phi^0_0 \wedge \sigma_{ij} + \upsilon^0_{ij} \wedge \theta_0 + \upsilon^k_{ij} \wedge \theta_k + \lambda_{ijk} \wedge \xi^k.$$

After normalizing these invariants and repeating the process, two outputs are possible. In the first case, the reduced lifted coframe appears to be involutive. Then this coframe is the desired set of defining forms for $Cont(\mathcal{R})$. In the second case, when the reduced lifted coframe does not satisfy Cartan's test, we should use the procedure of prolongation, [24, ch 12].

3. Structure and invariants of symmetry groups for linear hyperbolic equations

We apply the method described in the previous section to the class of linear hyperbolic equations (1). Denote $x^1 = t$, $x^2 = x$, $p_1 = u_t$, $p_2 = u_x$, $p_{11} = u_{tt}$, $p_{12} = u_{tx}$, and $p_{22} = u_{xx}$. The coordinates on $\mathcal{R}$ are $\{(t, x, u, u_t, u_x, u_{tt}, u_{xx})\}$, and the embedding $\iota : \mathcal{R} \to J^2(\mathcal{E})$ is defined by (1). At the first step, we analyse the linear dependence between the reduced forms θ_0, θ_i, ξ^i, and σ_{ij}. Without loss of generality we suppose that $b^1_1 \neq 0$ and $b^2_2 \neq 0$, then we find $\sigma_{12} = E_1\,\sigma_{11} + E_2\,\sigma_{22} + E_3\,\theta_0 + E_4\,\theta_1 + E_5\,\theta_2 + E_6\,\xi^1 + E_7\,\xi^2$, where, for example, $E_1 = -(b^1_1\,b^2_2 + b^1_2\,b^2_1)^{-1}\,b^1_1\,b^1_2$ and $E_2 = -(b^1_1\,b^2_2 + b^1_2\,b^2_1)^{-1}\,b^2_2\,b^2_1$. Setting E_1, E_2, ..., E_7 equal to 0 sequentially, we have $E_1 = 0 \Rightarrow b^1_2 = 0$, $E_2 = 0 \Rightarrow b^2_1 = 0$, $E_3 = 0 \Rightarrow s_{12} = -z_{112}\,c^1 - z_{122}\,c^2 + g_1\,(b^2_2)^{-1}\,T + g_2\,(b^1_1)^{-1} X - (b^1_1\,b^2_2)^{-1}\,U$, $E_4 = 0 \Rightarrow w^1_{12} = -z_{112}\,f^{11} - z_{122}\,f^{12} - (b^2_2)^{-1}\,T$, $E_5 = 0 \Rightarrow w^2_{12} = -z_{112}\,f^{12} - z_{122}\,f^{22} - (b^1_1)^{-1}\,X$, $E_6 = 0 \Rightarrow z_{112} = -a\,(b^1_1)^{-2}(b^2_2)^{-1}\,(T\,u_{tt} + (2\,T\,X + 2\,U - H)\,u_t + (X_t + X^2)\,u_x + (U_t + X\,U)\,u)$, and $E_7 = 0 \Rightarrow z_{122} = -a\,(b^1_1)^{-1}(b^2_2)^{-2}\,(X\,u_{xx} + (T_x + T^2)\,u_t + (2\,T\,X + 2\,U - K)\,u_x +$

$(U_x + T\,U)\,u)$, where $H = -T_t + T\,X + U$ and $K = -X_x + T\,X + U$ are the Laplace invariants, [15], [23, § 9].

At the second step, we analyse the semi-basic forms ϕ^i_j and ϕ^0_j. We have

$$\phi^2_1 \equiv f^{12}\,\sigma_{11} + \big(g_1 + (b^1_1)^{-1} X\big)\ \xi^2 \pmod{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \xi^1},$$

therefore we take $f^{12} = 0$, $g_1 = -(b^1_1)^{-1}\,X$. This yields

$$\phi^2_1 \equiv \big(-w^2_{11} + a\,f^{22}\,(b^1_1)^{-2}(b^2_2)^{-1}\,(T\,u_{tt} + (2\,T\,X + 2\,U - H)\,u_t + (X_t + X^2)\,u_x$$
$$+(U_t + X\,U)\,u)\big)\ \xi^1 \pmod{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2},$$

therefore we set $w^2_{11} = a\,f^{22}\,(b^1_1)^{-2}(b^2_2)^{-1}\,(T\,u_{tt} + (2\,T\,X + 2\,U - H)\,u_t + (X_t + X^2)\,u_x + (U_t + X\,U)\,u)$.

After that, we have

$$\phi^1_2 \equiv \big(g_2 + (b^2_2)^{-1}\,T\big)\ \xi^1 + \big(-w^1_{22} + a\,f^{11}\,(b^1_1)^{-1}(b^2_2)^{-2}\,(X\,u_{xx} + (T_x + T^2)\,u_t$$
$$+(2\,T\,X + 2\,U - K)\,u_x + (U_x + T\,U)\,u)\big)\ \xi^2 \pmod{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2},$$

so we set $g_2 = -(b^2_2)^{-1}\,T$ and $w^1_{22} = a\,f^{11}\,(b^1_1)^{-1}(b^2_2)^{-2}\,(X\,u_{xx} + (T_x + T^2)\,u_t + (2\,T\,X + 2\,U - K)\,u_x + (U_x + T\,U)\,u)$.

Then we have $\phi^0_1 \equiv c^1\,\sigma_{11} \pmod{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \xi^1, \xi^2}$, $\phi^0_2 \equiv c^2\,\sigma_{22} \pmod{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \xi^1, \xi^2}$, so we set $c^1 = 0$ and $c^2 = 0$. Now we obtain

$$\phi^0_1 \equiv K\,(b^1_1)^{-1}(b^2_2)^{-1}\,\xi^2 \pmod{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2},$$
$$\phi^0_2 \equiv H\,(b^1_1)^{-1}(b^2_2)^{-1}\,\xi^1 \pmod{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2}. \tag{12}$$

There are two possibilities now: $H \equiv K \equiv 0$ or at least one of the Laplace invariants is not identically equal 0.

We denote by $\mathcal{S}_1$ the subclass of equations (1) such that $H \equiv K \equiv 0$. For an equation from $\mathcal{S}_1$ we use the procedures of absorption and prolongation, [24], to compute the structure equations:

$$d\theta_0 = \eta_1 \wedge \theta_0 + \xi^1 \wedge \theta_1 + \xi^2 \wedge \theta_2,$$
$$d\theta_1 = \eta_2 \wedge \theta_1 + \xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{11},$$
$$d\theta_2 = \eta_3 \wedge \theta_2 + \xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{22},$$
$$d\xi^1 = (\eta_1 - \eta_2) \wedge \xi^1 + \eta_4 \wedge \theta_1,$$
$$d\xi^2 = (\eta_1 - \eta_3)\ \wedge \xi^2 + \eta_5 \wedge \theta_2,$$
$$d\sigma_{11} = (2\,\eta_2 - \eta_1) \wedge \sigma_{11} + \eta_6 \wedge \theta_1 + \eta_7 \wedge \xi^1,$$
$$d\sigma_{22} = (2\,\eta_3 - \eta_1) \wedge \sigma_{22} + \eta_8 \wedge \theta_2 + \eta_9 \wedge \xi^2,$$
$$d\eta_1 = 0,$$
$$d\eta_2 = \pi_1 \wedge \theta_1 + \eta_4 \wedge \sigma_{11} - \eta_6 \wedge \xi^1,$$

$$d\eta_3 = \pi_2 \wedge \theta_2 + \eta_5 \wedge \sigma_{22} - \eta_8 \wedge \xi^2,$$

$$d\eta_4 = -\pi_1 \wedge \xi^1 + \pi_3 \wedge \theta_1 + (\eta_1 - 2\,\eta_2) \wedge \eta_4,$$

$$d\eta_5 = -\pi_2 \wedge \xi^2 + \pi_4 \wedge \theta_2 + (\eta_1 - 2\,\eta_3) \wedge \eta_5,$$

$$d\eta_6 = 2\,\pi_1 \wedge \sigma_{11} + \pi_5 \wedge \theta_1 + \pi_6 \wedge \xi^1 + (\eta_2 - \eta_1) \wedge \eta_6 - \eta_4 \wedge \eta_7,$$

$$d\eta_7 = \pi_6 \wedge \theta_1 + \pi_7 \wedge \xi^1 - 3\,\eta_6 \wedge \sigma_{11} + (3\,\eta_2 - 2\,\eta_1) \wedge \eta_7,$$

$$d\eta_8 = 2\,\pi_2 \wedge \sigma_{22} + \pi_8 \wedge \theta_2 + \pi_9 \wedge \xi^2 + (\eta_3 - \eta_1) \wedge \eta_8 - \eta_5 \wedge \eta_9,$$

$$d\eta_9 = \pi_9 \wedge \theta_2 + \pi_{10} \wedge \xi^2 - 3\,\eta_8 \wedge \sigma_{22} + (3\,\eta_3 - 2\,\eta_1) \wedge \eta_9.$$

In these equations, the forms η_1, ... , η_9 on $J^2(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{H}$ depend on differentials of the parameters of $\mathcal{H}$, while the forms π_1, ..., π_{10} depend on differentials of the prolongation variables. From the structure equations it follows that Cartan's test for the lifted coframe $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \xi^1, \xi^2, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}, \eta_1, \eta_2, ..., \eta_9\}$ is satisfied, therefore the coframe is involutive.

The same calculations show that the symmetry group of the linear wave equation $u_{tx} = 0$ has the same structure equations, but with a different lifted coframe. All the essential torsion coefficients in the structure equations are constants. Thus, applying Theorem 15.12 of [24], we obtain the well-known result, [23, § 9]: every equation from $\mathcal{S}_1$ is contact equivalent to the wave equation.

Now we return to the case of $H \not\equiv 0$ or $K \not\equiv 0$. Since we can replace H and K by renaming the independent variables $t \mapsto x$, $x \mapsto t$, we put $H \not\equiv 0$ without loss of generality. Then we use (12) and take $b^2_2 = H\,(b^1_1)^{-1}$. After this, the form $\phi^1_1 + \phi^2_2 - 2\,\phi^0_0$ becomes semi-basic. Since $\phi^1_1 + \phi^2_2 - 2\,\phi^0_0 \equiv f^{11}\,\sigma_{11} + f^{22}\,\sigma_{22} \pmod{\theta_1, \theta_2, \xi^1, \xi^2}$, we take $f^{11} = 0$ and $f^{22} = 0$. Then we have $\phi^1_1 + \phi^2_2 - 2\,\phi^0_0 \equiv -\,(w^1_{11} + H^{-1}(b^1_1)^{-1}(H_t + 2\,X\,H))\;\xi^1 - (w^2_{22} + H^{-2}b^1_1\,(H_x + 2\,T\,H))\;\xi^2 \pmod{\theta_1, \theta_2}$, so we take $w^1_{11} = -H^{-1}(b^1_1)^{-1}(H_t + 2\,X\,H)$ and $w^2_{22} = -H^{-2}b^1_1\,(H_x + 2\,T\,H)$.

At the third step, we analyse the structure equations. After absorption of torsion they have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\theta_0 &= \eta_1 \wedge \theta_0 + \xi^1 \wedge \theta_1 + \xi^2 \wedge \theta_2,\\
d\theta_1 &= \eta_2 \wedge \theta_1 + \xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{11} - P\,\theta_0 \wedge \xi^2,\\
d\theta_2 &= (2\,\eta_1 - \eta_2) \wedge \theta_2 - \theta_0 \wedge \xi^1 + \xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{22},\\
d\xi^1 &= (\eta_1 - \eta_2) \wedge \xi^1,\\
d\xi^2 &= (\eta_2 - \eta_1) \wedge \xi^2, \qquad (13)\\
d\sigma_{11} &= (2\,\eta_2 - \eta_1) \wedge \sigma_{11} + \eta_3 \wedge \xi^1 - P_t\,(b^1_1)^{-1}\,\theta_0 \wedge \xi^2 + (Q + 1 - 2\,P)\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^2,\\
d\sigma_{22} &= (3\,\eta_1 - 2\,\eta_2) \wedge \sigma_{22} + \eta_4 \wedge \xi^2 + (P + Q - 2)\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the functions $P = K\,H^{-1}$ and $Q = (H\,H_{tx} - H_t\,H_x)\,H^{-3} = (\ln|\,H\,|)_{tx}\,H^{-1}$ are invariants of the symmetry group, and the 1-forms η_1, ..., η_4 depend on differentials of parameters of the group $\mathcal{H}$ (these forms are not neccessary the same as in the case of an equation from $\mathcal{S}_1$).

We denote by $\mathcal{S}_2$ the subclass of equations (1) such that $P_t \not\equiv 0$. This subclass is not empty, since, for example, the equation $u_{tx} = t^2 x^2 \, u_t + u$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_2$. For an equation from $\mathcal{S}_2$ we can normalize $P_t \, (b^1_1)^{-1}$, the only essential torsion coefficient in the structure equations (13), to 1 by setting $b^1_1 = P_t$. Then, after prolongation, we have the involutive lifted coframe $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \xi^1, \xi^2, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ with the structure equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\theta_0 &= \eta_1 \wedge \theta_0 + \xi^1 \wedge \theta_1 + \xi^2 \wedge \theta_2, \\
d\theta_1 &= \eta_1 \wedge \theta_1 - P \, \theta_0 \wedge \xi^2 - J_2 \, \theta_1 \wedge \xi^1 - J_1 \, \theta_1 \wedge \xi^2 + \xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{11}, \\
d\theta_2 &= \eta_1 \wedge \theta_2 - \theta_0 \wedge \xi^1 + J_2 \, \theta_2 \wedge \xi^1 + J_1 \, \theta_2 \wedge \xi^2 + \xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{22}, \\
d\xi^1 &= J_1 \, \xi^1 \wedge \xi^2, \\
d\xi^2 &= J_2 \, \xi^1 \wedge \xi^2, \qquad\qquad (14) \\
d\sigma_{11} &= \eta_1 \wedge \sigma_{11} + \eta_2 \wedge \xi^1 - \theta_0 \wedge \xi^2 + (Q + 1 - 2\,P) \, \theta_1 \wedge \xi^2 + 2\,J_1 \, \xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{11}, \\
d\sigma_{22} &= \eta_1 \wedge \sigma_{22} + \eta_3 \wedge \xi^2 + (P - 2 + Q) \, \theta_2 \wedge \xi^1 - 2\,J_2 \, \xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{22}, \\
d\eta_1 &= (P - 1) \, \xi^1 \wedge \xi^2, \\
d\eta_2 &= \pi_1 \wedge \xi^1 + \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 - 3\,J_1 \, \eta_2 \wedge \xi^2 + J_2 \, \theta_0 \wedge \xi^2 + (4\,P\,J_2 - 2\,Q\,J_2 - \mathbb{D}_1(Q) \\
&\quad -2\,J_2 + 3) \, \theta_1 \wedge \xi^2 + (2\,J_1\,J_2 + 2 - 3\,P + 3\,Q - 2\,\mathbb{D}_2(J_2)) \, \xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{11}, \\
d\eta_3 &= \pi_2 \wedge \xi^2 + \eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 + 3\,J_2 \, \eta_3 \wedge \xi^1 + (2\,J_1 \, (P + Q - 2) - \mathbb{D}_2(Q) - \mathbb{D}_2(P)) \, \theta_2 \wedge \xi^1 \\
&\quad +(2\,P - 3 - 2\,J_1\,J_2 + 2\,\mathbb{D}_2(J_2) + Q) \, \xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{22},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the functions $J_1 = -P_{tx} \, H^{-1}$ and $J_2 = (H_t \, P_t - H \, P_{tt}) \, H^{-1} \, (P_t)^{-2}$ are invariants of the symmetry group of an equation from $\mathcal{S}_2$, the operators

$$
\mathbb{D}_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} = (P_t)^{-1} \, D_t, \qquad \mathbb{D}_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^2} = P_t \, H^{-1} \, D_x
$$

are invariant differentiations associated with ξ^1 and ξ^2. These operators are defined by the identity $dF = \mathbb{D}_1(F) \, \xi^1 + \mathbb{D}_2(F) \, \xi^2$, where $F = F(t,x)$ is an arbitrary function. The commutator identity for the invariant differentiations has the form

$$
[\mathbb{D}_1, \mathbb{D}_2] = -J_1 \, \mathbb{D}_1 - J_2 \, \mathbb{D}_2. \qquad (15)
$$

We have $\mathbb{D}_1(P) = 1$, and, applying (15) to P, we obtain the *syzygy*

$$
J_1 = -\mathbb{D}_1(\mathbb{D}_2(P)) - J_2 \, \mathbb{D}_2(P). \qquad (16)
$$

If $\mathbb{D}_2(P) \, \mathbb{D}_1(Q) \neq \mathbb{D}_2(Q)$, i.e., if $P_t \, Q_x \neq P_x \, Q_t$, then, applying (15) to Q and using (16), we have

$$
J_2 = ([\mathbb{D}_1, \mathbb{D}_2] \, (Q) - \mathbb{D}_1(Q) \, \mathbb{D}_1(\mathbb{D}_2(P))) \, (\mathbb{D}_2(P) \, \mathbb{D}_1(Q) - \mathbb{D}_2(Q))^{-1}.
$$

Therefore, in this case the functions P and Q are a basis of differential invariants of the symmetry group. But P and Q are not neccessary a basis in the case of their functional dependence, cf. [9, th 2.3], [10, § 10.4.2]. To prove this statement, we consider the equation

$$u_{tx} = u_t + \frac{2\,(p(t)-1)}{q(t)\,(t+x)}\,u_x + \frac{2}{q(t)\,(t+x)^2}\,(1-(p(t)-1)\,(t+x))\,u \qquad (17)$$

with arbitrary functions $p(t)$ and $q(t)$ such that $p'(t) \neq 0$ and $q'(t) \neq 0$. For this equation we have

$$P = p(t), \quad Q = q(t), \quad J_2 = -\frac{2}{q'(t)\,(t+x)} - \frac{p''(t)}{(p'(t))^2} - \frac{q'(t)}{p'(t)\,q(t)},$$

$\mathbb{D}_1(P) = 1$, $\mathbb{D}_2(P) = 0$, $\mathbb{D}_1(Q) = q'(t)\,(p'(t))^{-1}$, $\mathbb{D}_2(Q) = 0$, and by induction the only non-trivial higher order differential invariants $\mathbb{D}_1^i(Q)$ depend on t. Since $J_{2,x} \neq 0$, the function J_2 is independent of P, Q, and all their invariant derivatives. Thus for the whole subclass $\mathcal{S}_2$ we should take the functions P, Q, and J_2 as a basis for the set of differential invariants of the symmetry group. To construct all the other invariants, we apply $\mathbb{D}_1$ and $\mathbb{D}_2$ to P, Q, and J_2. The commutator identity (15) allows us to permute $\mathbb{D}_1$ and $\mathbb{D}_2$, so we need only to deal with the invariants $P_{jk} = \mathbb{D}_1^j(\mathbb{D}_2^k(P))$, $Q_{jk} = \mathbb{D}_1^j(\mathbb{D}_2^k(Q))$, and $J_{2,jk} = \mathbb{D}_1^j(\mathbb{D}_2^k(J_2))$, where $j \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$.

For $s \geq 0$ the s-th order *classifying manifold* associated with the lifted coframe $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is

$$\mathcal{C}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, U) = \{(P_{jk}(t,x), Q_{jk}(t,x), J_{2,jk}(t,x)) \mid 0 \leq j+k \leq s,\ (t,x) \in U\}. \qquad (18)$$

Since all the functions P_{jk}, Q_{jk}, and $J_{2,jk}$ depend on two variables t and x, it follows that $\rho_s = \dim \mathcal{C}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, U) \leq 2$ for all $s \geq 0$. Let $r = \min\{s \mid \rho_s = \rho_{s+1} = \rho_{s+2} = ...\}$ be the *order of the coframe* $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Since $P_t \neq 0$, we have $1 \leq \rho_0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq ... \leq 2$. In any case, $r+1 \leq 2$. Hence from Theorem 15.12 of [24] we see that two linear hyperbolic equations (1) from the subclass $\mathcal{S}_2$ are locally equivalent under a contact transformation if and only if their second order classifying manifolds (18) locally overlap.

Remark 1. A Lie pseudo-group is called structurally intransitive, [17], if it is not isomorphic to any transitive Lie pseudo-group. In [4], Cartan proved that a Lie pseudo-group is structurally intransitive whenever it has essential invariants. An invariant of a Lie pseudo-group with the structure equations

$$d\omega^i = A^i_{\beta k}\,\pi^\beta \wedge \omega^k + T^i_{jk}\,\omega^j \wedge \omega^k$$

is called *essential* if it is a first integral of the *systatic system* $A^i_{\beta k}\,\omega^k$. From the structure equations (14) it follows that the systatic system for the symmetry pseudo-group of an equation from $\mathcal{S}_2$ is generated by the forms ξ^1 and ξ^2. First integrals of these forms are arbitrary functions of t and x. Therefore, the invariants P, Q, J_2, and all the non-constant derived invariants are essential. Thus the symmetry pseudo-group of equation (1) from the subclass $\mathcal{S}_2$ is structurally intransitive, and the moving coframe method is applicable to finding Maurer – Cartan forms for differential equations with structurally intransitive symmetry pseudo-groups, cf. [17].

Remark 2. In [14, th 1], the following basis of invariants for the symmetry group of equation (1) is found: $\{P, Q, J_3^1, J_3^2, J_3^3\}$, where

$$J_3^1 = H^{-3}\,(K\,H_{tx} + H\,K_{tx} - H_tK_x - H_xK_t)\,,$$

$$J_3^2 = H^{-9}\,(H\,K_x - K\,H_x)^2\,\left(H\,K\,H_{tt} - H^2K_{tt} - 3\,K\,H_t^2 + 3\,H\,H_tK_t\right),$$

$$J_3^3 = H^{-9}\,(H\,K_t - K\,H_t)^2\,\left(H\,K\,H_{xx} - H^2K_{xx} - 3\,K\,H_x^2 + 3\,H\,H_xK_x\right).$$

Using (16), we have the following expressions for invariants J_3^1, J_3^2, and J_3^3 in terms of P, Q, J_2, and their invariant derivatives:

$$J_3^1 = 2\,P\,Q + \mathbb{D}_1(\mathbb{D}_2(P)) + J_2\,\mathbb{D}_2(P),$$

$$J_3^2 = J_2\,(\mathbb{D}_2(P))^2\,,$$

$$J_3^3 = \mathbb{D}_2(P)\,\left(\mathbb{D}_1(\mathbb{D}_2(P)) + J_2\,\mathbb{D}_2(P)\right) - \mathbb{D}_2(\mathbb{D}_2(P)).$$

The following operators of invariant differentiation are found in [14]:

$$\widetilde{X}_1 = H^{-3}\,(H\,K_x - K\,H_x)\,D_t, \qquad \widetilde{X}_2 = H^2\,(H\,K_x - K\,H_x)^{-1}\,D_x.$$

We have $\widetilde{X}_1 = \mathbb{D}_2(P)\,\mathbb{D}_1$ and $\widetilde{X}_2 = \mathbb{D}_2(P)^{-1}\,\mathbb{D}_2$. Then in the case $\mathbb{D}_2(P) \equiv 0 \equiv P_x$ the operator $\widetilde{X}_2$ is not defined, while $\widetilde{X}_1$ is trivial, $J_3^1 = 2\,P\,Q$, $J_3^2 = 0$, and $J_3^3 = 0$. Therefore, the functions P, Q, J_3^1, J_3^2, and J_3^3 are not a basis of invariants of symmetry group for equation (17).

Remark 3. In the theorem of [12], two sets of functions are stated to be bases for invariants of symmetry groups of equations (1): the first set consists of functions P, Q, $I = P_tP_xH^{-1}$, $\widetilde{Q} = (\ln|\,K\,|\,)_{tx}K^{-1}$, and the second set consists of the functions P, Q, I, and $-J_2$. The operators of invariant differentiation are taken in the form $\mathcal{D}_1 = P_t^{-1}D_t$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 = P_x^{-1}D_x$. We have $I = \mathbb{D}_2(P)$, therefore the function I can be excluded from both sets. Also we have $\widetilde{Q} = Q\,P^{-1} + J_2\,\mathbb{D}_2(P)\,P^{-2} + \mathbb{D}_1(\mathbb{D}_2(P))\,P^{-2} - \mathbb{D}_2(P)\,P^{-3}$, $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathbb{D}_1$, and $\mathcal{D}_2 = (\mathbb{D}_2(P))^{-1}\,\mathbb{D}_2$. Therefore, in the case $P_x = 0 = \mathbb{D}_2(P)$ we have $I = 0$ and $\widetilde{Q} = Q\,P^{-1}$, so the functions P, Q, I, and $\widetilde{Q}$ are not a basis of invariants for the symmetry group of equation (17).

The function J_2 and the operator $\mathcal{D}_1$ are not defined when $P_t \equiv 0$ (for example of this case we take the Moutard equation $u_{tx} = U(t,x)\,u$). So the second set of functions is not a basis of invariants of symmetry groups for the *whole* class (1).

Now we return to the case $P_t \equiv 0$. Then the torsion coefficients in the structure equations (13) are independent of the group parameters, while $dP = P_x\,b_1^1\,H^{-1}\,\xi^2$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_3$ the subclass of equations (1) such that $P_t \equiv 0$, $P_x \neq 0$. This subclass is not empty, since, for example, the equation $u_{tx} = x^2\,u_x + u$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_3$. For an equation from $\mathcal{S}_3$ we normalize $b_1^1 = H\,P_x^{-1}$. After absorption of torsion and prolongation, we obtain the involutive lifted coframe $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \xi^1, \xi^2, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ with the structure equations

$$d\theta_0 = \eta_1 \wedge \theta_0 + \xi^1 \wedge \theta_1 + \xi^2 \wedge \theta_2,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\theta_1 &= \eta_1 \wedge \theta_1 - P\,\theta_0 \wedge \xi^2 - L\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^2 + \xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{11},\\
d\theta_2 &= \eta_1 \wedge \theta_2 - \theta_0 \wedge \xi^1 + L\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^2 + \xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{22},\\
d\xi^1 &= L\,\xi^1 \wedge \xi^2,\\
d\xi^2 &= 0,\\
d\sigma_{11} &= \eta_1 \wedge \sigma_{11} + \eta_2 \wedge \xi^1 + (Q+1-2\,P)\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^2 + 2\,L\,\xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{11},\\
d\sigma_{22} &= \eta_1 \wedge \sigma_{22} + \eta_3 \wedge \xi^2 + (P-2+Q)\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^1,\\
d\eta_1 &= (P-1)\,\xi^1 \wedge \xi^2,\\
d\eta_2 &= \pi_1 \wedge \xi^1 - \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 - 3\,L\,\eta_2 \wedge \xi^2 - \mathbb{D}_1(Q)\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^2 + (3\,Q - 3\,P + 2)\,\xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{11},\\
d\eta_3 &= \pi_2 \wedge \xi^2 + \eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 - (4\,L + 1 - 2\,P\,L - 2\,Q\,L + \mathbb{D}_2(Q))\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^1\\
&\quad + (Q - 3 + 2\,P)\,\xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{22},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the function $L = (H\,P_{xx} - H_x\,P_x)\,(P_x)^{-2}\,H^{-1}$ is an invariant of the symmetry group, and the operators of invariant differentiation are $\mathbb{D}_1 = P_x\,H^{-1}\,D_t$ and $\mathbb{D}_2 = (P_x)^{-1}\,D_x$. We have $\mathbb{D}_1(P) = 0$, $\mathbb{D}_2(P) = 1$, and

$$[\mathbb{D}_1, \mathbb{D}_2] = L\,\mathbb{D}_1. \tag{19}$$

In the case $\mathbb{D}_1(Q) \neq 0$ we apply (19) to Q and obtain $L = [\mathbb{D}_1, \mathbb{D}_2]\,(Q)\,(\mathbb{D}_1(Q))^{-1}$. Therefore, in this case the functions P and Q are a basis for the set of differential invariants of the symmetry group. But if $\mathbb{D}_1(Q) = 0$, then the functions P and Q are not neccessary a basis. For example, consider the equation

$$u_{tx} = -\frac{2\,(p(x)-1)}{q(x)\,(t+x)}\,u_t + u_x + \frac{2}{q(x)\,(t+x)^2}\,\left(p(x) + (p(x)-1)\,(t+x)\right)\,u$$

where $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are arbitrary functions such that $p'(x) \neq 0$ and $q'(x) \neq 0$. This equation has the following invariants:

$$P = p(x), \quad Q = q(x), \quad L = \frac{2}{p'(x)\,(t+x)} + \frac{p''(x)}{(p'(x))^2} + \frac{q'(x)}{p'(x)\,q(x)}.$$

We have $\mathbb{D}_1(Q) = 0$, $\mathbb{D}_2(Q) = q'(x)\,(p'(x))^{-1}$, and by induction the only non-trivial higher order differential invariants $\mathbb{D}_2^i(Q)$ depend on x. Since $L_t \neq 0$, the function L is independent of P, Q, and all their invariant derivatives. Thus for the whole subclass $\mathcal{S}_3$ we should take the functions P, Q, and L as a basis for the set of differential invariants of symmetry group. The s-th order classifying manifold associated with the coframe $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and an open subset $U \in \mathbb{R}^2$ can be taken in the form

$$\mathcal{C}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, U) = \{(P(x), Q_{jk}(t,x), L_{jk}(t,x)) \mid 0 \le j + k \le s,\ (t,x) \in U\} \tag{20}$$

with $Q_{jk} = \mathbb{D}_1^j(\mathbb{D}_2^k(Q))$ and $L_{jk} = \mathbb{D}_1^j(\mathbb{D}_2^k(L))$. Then two equations from $\mathcal{S}_3$ are equivalent under a contact transformation if and only if their second order classifying manifolds (20) are (locally) overlap.

Now we consider the case $P \equiv const.$ Then we have $dQ = Q_t\,(b^1_1)^{-1}\,\xi^1 + Q_x\,b^1_1\,H^{-1}\,\xi^2$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_4$ the subclass of equations (1) such that $P \equiv const.$, $Q_t \neq 0$. This subclass is not empty, since, for example, the equation $u_{tx} = (t-x)^3\,u_x + (t-x)^2\,u$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_4$. For an equation from $\mathcal{S}_4$ we normalize $b^1_1 = Q_t$. Then after absorption of torsion and prolongation we have the involutive lifted coframe $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \xi^1, \xi^2, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ with the structure equations

$$d\theta_0 = \eta_1 \wedge \theta_0 + \xi^1 \wedge \theta_1 + \xi^2 \wedge \theta_2,$$

$$d\theta_1 = \eta_1 \wedge \theta_1 - P\,\theta_0 \wedge \xi^2 - M_2\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^1 - M_1\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^2 + \xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{11},$$

$$d\theta_2 = \eta_1 \wedge \theta_2 - \theta_0 \wedge \xi^1 + M_2\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^1 + M_1\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^2 + \xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{22},$$

$$d\xi^1 = M_1\,\xi^1 \wedge \xi^2,$$

$$d\xi^2 = M_2\,\xi^1 \wedge \xi^2,$$

$$d\sigma_{11} = \eta_1 \wedge \sigma_{11} + \eta_2 \wedge \xi^1 + (Q + 1 - 2\,P)\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^2 + 2\,M_1\,\xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{11},$$

$$d\sigma_{22} = \eta_1 \wedge \sigma_{22} + \eta_3 \wedge \xi^2 + (P - 2 + Q)\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^1 - 2\,M_2\,\xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{22},$$

$$d\eta_1 = (P-1)\,\xi^1 \wedge \xi^2,$$

$$d\eta_2 = \pi_1 \wedge \xi^1 + \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 - 3\,M_1\,\eta_2 \wedge \xi^2 - (1 + 2\,M_2 + 2\,Q\,M_2 - 4\,P\,M_2)\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^2$$
$$+(Q - 2\,M_1 M_2 - 3\,P - 2\,\mathbb{D}_1(M_1) + 2)\,\xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{11},$$

$$d\eta_3 = \pi_2 \wedge \xi^2 + \eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 + 3\,M_2\,\eta_3 \wedge \xi^1 - (4\,M_1 - 2\,M_1 P - 2\,M_1 Q + \mathbb{D}_2(Q))\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^1$$
$$+(2\,M_1 M_2 + 2\,P - 3 + 2\,\mathbb{D}_1(M_1) + 3\,Q)\,\xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{22},$$

where the functions $M_1 = -Q_{tx}\,H^{-1}$ and $M_2 = (H_t Q_t - H\,Q_{tt})\;H^{-1}\,Q_t^{-2}$ are invariants of the symmetry group, and the operators of invariant differentiation are $\mathbb{D}_1 = Q_t^{-1}\,D_t$ and $\mathbb{D}_2 = Q_t\,H^{-1}\,D_x$. We have $[\mathbb{D}_1, \mathbb{D}_2] = -M_1\,\mathbb{D}_1 - M_2\,\mathbb{D}_2$. Since $\mathbb{D}_1(Q) = 1$, then, applying the commutator identity to Q, we have the syzygy $M_1 = -\mathbb{D}_1(\mathbb{D}_2(Q)) - M_2\,\mathbb{D}_2(Q)$. The functions Q and M_2 are a basis for the set of all invariants of the symmetry group of an equation from $\mathcal{S}_4$. We take the s-th order classifying manifold associated with the coframe $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and an open subset $U \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in the form

$$\mathcal{C}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, U) = \{(P, Q_{jk}(t,x), M_{2,jk}(t,x)) \mid 0 \le j + k \le s,\ (t,x) \in U\} \tag{21}$$

with $Q_{jk} = \mathbb{D}_1^j(\mathbb{D}_2^k(Q))$ and $M_{2,jk} = \mathbb{D}_1^j(\mathbb{D}_2^k(M_2))$. Then two equations from $\mathcal{S}_4$ are equivalent under a contact transformation if and only if their second order classifying manifolds (21) are (locally) overlap.

Next we denote by $\mathcal{S}_5$ the subclass of equations (1) such that $P \equiv const.$, $Q_t \equiv 0$, $Q_x \neq 0$. This subclass is not empty, since, for example, the equation

$$u_{tx} = -\frac{2\,(\lambda - 1)}{q(x)\,(t+x)}\,u_t + u_x + \frac{2\,(\lambda + (\lambda - 1)\,(t+x))}{q(x)\,(t+x)^2}\,u$$

has the invariants $P = \lambda \equiv const.$, $Q = q(x)$, and belongs to $\mathcal{S}_5$. For an equation from $\mathcal{S}_5$ we normalize $b^1_1 = H\, Q_x^{-1}$. Then after absorption of torsion and prolongation we have the involutive lifted coframe $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \xi^1, \xi^2, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ with the structure equations

$$d\theta_0 = \eta_1 \wedge \theta_0 + \xi^1 \wedge \theta_1 + \xi^2 \wedge \theta_2,$$

$$d\theta_1 = \eta_1 \wedge \theta_1 - P\,\theta_0 \wedge \xi^2 - N\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^2 + \xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{11},$$

$$d\theta_2 = \eta_1 \wedge \theta_2 - \theta_0 \wedge \xi^1 + N\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^2 + \xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{22},$$

$$d\xi^1 = N\,\xi^1 \wedge \xi^2,$$

$$d\xi^2 = 0,$$

$$d\sigma_{11} = \eta_1 \wedge \sigma_{11} + \eta_2 \wedge \xi^1 + (Q + 1 - 2\,P)\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^2 + 2\,N\,\xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{11},$$

$$d\sigma_{22} = \eta_1 \wedge \sigma_{22} + \eta_3 \wedge \xi^2 + (P - 2 + Q)\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^1,$$

$$d\eta_1 = (P - 1)\,\xi^1 \wedge \xi^2,$$

$$d\eta_2 = \pi_1 \wedge \xi^1 + \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 - 3\,N\,\eta_2 \wedge \xi^2 + (2 - 3\,P + 3\,Q)\,\xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{11},$$

$$d\eta_3 = \pi_2 \wedge \xi^2 + \eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 + (2\,N\,(P + Q - 2) - 1)\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^1 + (2\,P + Q - 3)\,\xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{22},$$

where the function $N = (H\,Q_{xx} - H_x\,Q_x)\,H^{-1}\,Q_x^{-2}$ is an invariant of the symmetry group, and the operators of invariant differentiation are $\mathbb{D}_1 = Q_x\,H^{-1}\,D_t$ and $\mathbb{D}_2 = Q_x^{-1}\,D_x$. We have $[\mathbb{D}_1, \mathbb{D}_2] = -N\,\mathbb{D}_1$, $\mathbb{D}_1(Q) = 0$, and $\mathbb{D}_2(Q) = 1$. The functions Q and N are a basis for the set of all invariants of the symmetry group of an equation from $\mathcal{S}_5$. We take the s-th order classifying manifold associated with the coframe $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and an open subset $U \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in the form

$$\mathcal{C}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, U) = \{(P, Q(x), \mathbb{D}_1^j(\mathbb{D}_2^k(N))(t,x)) \ \mid\ 0 \le j + k \le s,\ (t,x) \in U\}. \tag{22}$$

Then two equations from $\mathcal{S}_5$ are equivalent under a contact transformation if and only if their second order classifying manifolds (22) are (locally) overlap.

Finally, we denote by $\mathcal{S}_6$ the subclass of equations (1) such that $P \equiv const.$, $Q \equiv const.$ This subclass is not empty, since, for example, the equation

$$u_{tx} = -t\,u_t - \lambda\,x\,u_x - \lambda\,t\,x\,u \tag{23}$$

has the invariants $P = \lambda$ and $Q = 0$, while the Euler - Poisson equation

$$u_{tx} = 2\,\mu^{-1}\,(t + x)^{-1}\,u_t + 2\,\lambda\,\mu^{-1}\,(t + x)^{-1}\,u_x - 4\,\lambda\,\mu^{-2}\,(t + x)^{-2}\,u \tag{24}$$

has the invariants $P = \lambda$ and $Q = \mu$, [23, § 9.2]. For an equation from $\mathcal{S}_6$ after absorption of torsion and prolongation we have the involutive lifted coframe $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \xi^1, \xi^2, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$ with the structure equations

$$d\theta_0 = \eta_1 \wedge \theta_0 + \xi^1 \wedge \theta_1 + \xi^2 \wedge \theta_2,$$

$$d\theta_1 = \eta_2 \wedge \theta_1 - P\,\theta_0 \wedge \xi^2 + \xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{11},$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\theta_2 &= (2\,\eta_1 - \eta_2) \wedge \theta_2 - \theta_0 \wedge \xi^1 + \xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{22},\\
d\xi^1 &= (\eta_1 - \eta_2) \wedge \xi^1,\\
d\xi^2 &= (\eta_2 - \eta_1) \wedge \xi^2,\\
d\sigma_{11} &= (2\,\eta_2 - \eta_1) \wedge \sigma_{11} + \eta_3 \wedge \xi^1 + (Q + 1 - 2\,P)\,\theta_1 \wedge \xi^2,\\
d\sigma_{22} &= (3\,\eta_1 - 2\,\eta_2) \wedge \sigma_{22} + \eta_4 \wedge \xi^2 + (P - 2 + Q)\,\theta_2 \wedge \xi^1,\\
d\eta_1 &= (P - 1)\,\xi^1 \wedge \xi^2,\\
d\eta_2 &= (P - Q - 1)\,\xi^1 \wedge \xi^2,\\
d\eta_3 &= \pi_1 \wedge \xi^1 - (2\,\eta_1 - 3\,\eta_2) \wedge \eta_3 + (3\,(Q - P) + 2)\,\xi^2 \wedge \sigma_{11},\\
d\eta_4 &= \pi_2 \wedge \xi^2 + (4\,\eta_1 - 3\,\eta_2) \wedge \eta_4 + (3\,(Q - 1) + 2\,P)\,\xi^1 \wedge \sigma_{22}.
\end{aligned}
$$

All the invariants of the symmetry group for an equation from $\mathcal{S}_6$ are constants, and the classifying manifold is a point. Thus an equation from $\mathcal{S}_6$ is equivalent to one of equations (23) or (24) with the same values of P and Q, [23, § 9.2].

The results of the above calculations are summarized in the following statement:

Theorem. *The class of linear hyperbolic equations (1) is divided into the six subclasses* $\mathcal{S}_1$, $\mathcal{S}_2$, ..., $\mathcal{S}_6$ *invariant under an action of the pseudo-group of contact transformations:*

$\mathcal{S}_1$ *consists of all equations (1) such that* $H \equiv 0$ *and* $K \equiv 0$;

$\mathcal{S}_2$ *consists of all equations (1) such that* $P_t \neq 0$;

$\mathcal{S}_3$ *consists of all equations (1) such that* $P_t \equiv 0$ *and* $P_x \neq 0$;

$\mathcal{S}_4$ *consists of all equations (1) such that* $P \equiv$ *const. and* $Q_t \neq 0$;

$\mathcal{S}_5$ *consists of all equations (1) such that* $P \equiv$ *const.,* $Q_t \equiv 0$, *and* $Q_x \neq 0$;

$\mathcal{S}_6$ *consists of all equations (1) such that* $P \equiv$ *const. and* $Q \equiv$ *const.*

Every equation from the subclass $\mathcal{S}_1$ *is locally equivalent to the linear wave equation* $u_{tx} = 0$.

Every equation from the subclass $\mathcal{S}_6$ *is locally equivalent to either equation (23) when* $Q = 0$ *or to the equation (24) when* $Q \neq 0$.

For the subclass $\mathcal{S}_2$, *the basic invariants are* P, Q, *and* J_2, *the operators of invariant differentiation are* $\mathbb{D}_1 = P_t^{-1} D_t$ *and* $\mathbb{D}_2 = P_t H^{-1} D_x$.

For the subclass $\mathcal{S}_3$, *the basic invariants are* P, Q, *and* L, *the operators of invariant differentiation are* $\mathbb{D}_1 = P_x H^{-1} D_t$ *and* $\mathbb{D}_2 = P_x^{-1} D_x$.

For the subclass $\mathcal{S}_4$, *the basic invariants are* Q, M_1, *and* M_2, *the operators of invariant differentiation are* $\mathbb{D}_1 = Q_t^{-1} D_t$ *and* $\mathbb{D}_2 = Q_t H^{-1} D_x$.

For the subclass $\mathcal{S}_5$, *the basic invariants are* Q *and* N, *the operators of invariant differentiation are* $\mathbb{D}_1 = Q_x H^{-1} D_t$ *and* $\mathbb{D}_2 = Q_x^{-1} D_x$.

Two equations from one of the subclasses $\mathcal{S}_2$, $\mathcal{S}_3$, $\mathcal{S}_4$, *or* $\mathcal{S}_5$ *are locally equivalent to each other if and only if the classifying manifolds (18), (20), (21), or (22) for these equations locally overlap.*

Conclusion

In this paper, the moving coframe method of [6] is applied to the local equivalence problem for the class of linear second-order hyperbolic equations in two independent variables under an action of the pseudo-group of contact transformations. The class is divided into the six invariant subclasses. For all the subclasses, the Maurer - Cartan forms for symmetry groups, the bases of differential invariants and the invariant differentiation operators are found. This allowed to solve the equivalence problem for the whole class of linear hyperbolic equations. It is shown that the moving coframe method is applicable to structurally intransitive symmetry groups. The method uses linear algebra and differentiation operations only and does not require analysing over-determined systems of partial differential equation or using procedures of integration.

References

[1] Cartan É 1953 *Sur la structure des groupes infinis de transformations // Œuvres Complètes*, Part II, **2** (Paris: Gauthier - Villars) 571–714

[2] Cartan É 1953 *Les sous-groupes des groupes continus de transformations // Œuvres Complètes*, Part II, **2** (Paris: Gauthier - Villars) 719–856

[3] Cartan É 1953 *Les groupes de transformations continus, infinis, simples // Œuvres Complètes*, Part II, **2** (Paris: Gauthier - Villars) 857–925

[4] Cartan É 1953 *La structure des groupes infinis. // Œuvres Complètes*, Part II, **2** (Paris: Gauthier - Villars) 1335–84

[5] Cartan É 1953 *Les problèmes d'équivalence. // Œuvres Complètes*, Part II, **2** (Paris: Gauthier - Villars) 1311–1334

[6] Fels M, Olver P J 1998 *Moving coframes. I. A practical algorithm // Acta. Appl. Math* **51** 161–213

[7] Fels M, Olver P J 1999 *Moving coframes. II. Regularization and theoretical foundations // Acta Appl. Math* **55** 127–208

[8] Gardner R B 1989 *The Method of Equivalence and Its Applications* (Philadelphia: SIAM)

[9] Ibragimov N H 1997 *Infinitesimal Method in the Theory of Invariants of Algebraic and Differential Equations // Notices of the South African Mathematical Society* **29** 61 – 70

[10] Ibragimov N H 1999 *Elementary Lie Group Analysis and Ordinary Differential Equations* (New York: John Wiley and Sons)

[11] Ibragimov N H 2002 *Laplace Type Invariants for Parabolic Equations // Nonlinear Dynamics* **28** 125 –133

[12] Ibragimov N H 2004 *Invariants of Hyperbolic Equations: Solution to Laplace's Problem. // J Appl Mech Tech Phys* **45**, No 2, 11 – 21 (in Russian)

[13] Johnpillai I K, Mahomed F M 2001 *Singular invariant equation for the (1+1) Fokker - Planck equation // J Phys A Math Gen* **34** 11033–11051

[14] Johnpillai I K, Mahomed F M, Wafo Soh C 2002 *Basis of Joint Invariants for (1+1) Linear Hyperbolic Equations // J Nonlinear Math Phys* **9**, Supplement 2, 49 – 59

[15] Laplace P S 1773 - 1777 *Recherches sur le calcul intégral aux différences partielles // Mémoires de l'Acad emie Royale de Sciences de Paris* 341 – 401, Reprinted in *Œuvres Complètes* **IX** (Paris: Gauthier - Villars) 1893, 3 – 68, English translation New York 1966

[16] Lie S 1922 - 1937 *Gesammelte Abhandlungen* **1 - 6** (Leipzig: B G Teubner)

[17] Lisle I G, Reid G J 1998 *Geometry and structure of Lie pseudogroups from infinitesimal defining equations. // J. Symb. Comp.* **26** 355–79

[18] Lisle I G, Reid G J 1999 *Symmetry Classification Using Invariant Moving Frame.* http://www.apmaths.uwo.ca/˜reid

[19] Morozov O I 2002 *Moving Coframes and Symmetries of Differential Equations. // J Phys A Math Gen* **35** 2965 – 2977

[20] Morozov O I 2003 *Contact Equivalence Problem for Linear Parabolic Equations.* math-ph/0304045

[21] Morozov O I 2004 *Symmetries of Differential Equations and Cartan's Equivalence Method. // Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference "Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics", Kyiv, Ukraine, 23 – 29 June 2003*, Part 1, 196 – 203

[22] Ovsiannikov L V 1960 *Group Properties of the Chaplygin Equation. // J Appl Mech Tech Phys* No 3, 126 – 145 (in Russian)

[23] Ovsiannikov L V 1982 *Group Analysis of Differential Equations* (New York: Academic Press)

[24] Olver P J 1995 *Equivalence, Invariants, and Symmetry* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)